arXiv:hep-th/9411096v1 14 Nov 1994

Spontaneous supersymmetry breaking in $N = 3$ supergravity with matter

V. A. Tsokur, Yu. M. Zinoviev [*]
Institute for High Energy Physics
Protvino, Moscow Region, 142284, Russia

Abstract

In this paper we investigate the problem of spontaneous supersymmetry breaking without a cosmological term in $N = 3$ supergravity with matter vector multiplets, scalar fields geometry being $SU(3,m)/SU(3) \otimes SU(m) \otimes U(1)$. At first, we consider the case of minimal coupling with different possible gaugings (compact as well as non-compact). Then we show that there exist dual version of such a theory (with the same scalar field geometry), which turns out to be the generalization of the $N = 3$ hidden sector, constructed some time ago by one of us, to the case of arbitrary number of vector multiplets. We demonstrate that spontaneous supersymmetry breaking is still possible in the presence of matter multiplets.

---

[*] E-mail address: ZINOVIEV@MX.IHEP.SU

1 Introduction

The problem of spontaneous supersymmetry breaking without a cosmological term still remains to be one of the most important and hard ones in supergravity as well as in superstring theories. In this paper we are not going to discuss this problem in the superstrings, but we feel that the lack of complete understanding of the spontaneous supersymmetry breaking in extended supergravities may be (at least one of) the reason, why the appropriate solution of this problem in superstrings is still missing. Only in $N = 1$ supergravity, due to large (functional) arbitrariness in general coupling of vector and chiral multiplets, this problem has been successfully solved, which make possible a lot of phenomenological applications.

In extended supergravities this task appeared to be much more complicated. One of the reasons is that a very high symmetry such theories possess leads to a severe restriction on the possible matter couplings (but at the same time could lead to the models with very high predictive power). The second one is the necessity to have a spontaneous supersymmetry breaking with at least two different scales, when extended supersymmetry is broken to the $N = 1$ at the first stage. For example, for $N = 2$ supergravity a wide class of so called no-scale models has been constructed [1, 2, 3], in which two supersymmetries were broken with only one scale and the gravitini were mass degenerate. At the same time, the realization of partial super-Higgs effect $N = 2 \rightarrow N = 1$ appeared to be non-trivial task [4]. Later on, the $N = 2$ hidden sector, consisting of $N = 2$ supergravity itself, one vector and one hypermultiplet and admitting spontaneous supersymmetry breaking with two arbitrary scales, has been constructed [5]. At first sight, it seemed that the only natural generalization of such hidden sector to the case of arbitrary number of vector multiplets was the model with the scalar field geometry $SO(2, m)/SO(2) \otimes SO(m)$. The spontaneous supersymmetry breaking without a cosmological term was indeed shown to be possible in such theories and the examples of corresponding soft breaking terms have been calculated [6, 7]. At last, recently it was shown [8], that there exist a dual version of the $N = 2$ supergravity — vector multiplets system with the scalar field geometry $SU(1, m)/SU(m) \otimes U(1)$ which corresponds to different interaction of arbitrary number of vector multiplets with the same hidden sector, thus leading to the same possibilities for spontaneous supersymmetry breaking.

In this paper we (re)consider the problem of spontaneous supersymmetry breaking in $N = 3$ supergravity with vector multiplets, while our subsequent paper deals with the $N = 4$ case. Firstly, we recall the construction ot the "minimal" coupling, scalar field geometry being $SU(3, m)/SU(3) \otimes SU(m) \otimes U(1)$ and describes different gaugings possible in such model. Then, using the fact that the dual versions have the same scalar field geometry, we have managed to construct a model, which corresponds to the interaction of arbitrary number of vector multiplets with $N = 3$ hidden sector, admitting spontaneous supersymmetry without cosmological term and with three different scales. We have shown that spontaneous supersymmetry breaking remains to be possible in the presence of matter multiplets.

2 $N = 3$ supergravity with vector multiplets

In this section we investigate an "ordinary" version of $N = 3$ supergravity interacting with vector multiplets. As is well known, scalar fields in extended supergravities describe, as a rule, non-linear σ-models of the type G/H, where G is some non-compact group, and H — its maximal compact subgroup. As scalar fields of $N=3$ vector multiplets are transformed under the triplet representation of $SU(3)$ group, a σ-model $SU(3,m)/SU(3)\otimes SU(m)\otimes U(1)$ seems to be the (only) natural candidate for the scalar field geometry in this case. Indeed, such a model has been constructed some time ago by one of us [9] (see also [10]). For our discussion here to be self-contained, we reproduce all the necessary formulas below.

Let us consider the following fields: graviton $e_{\mu r}$, gravitini $\Psi_{\mu i}$, $i=1,2,3$, majorana spinor ρ and $m+3$ vector multiplets with vector fields ${A_\mu}^A$, majorana spinors Ω_{iA} and λ^A and complex scalar fields ${z_i}^A$. Here $A=1,2...m+3$ with $g_{AB}=diag(---,+...+)$. The supertransformations for the free vector multiplets have the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta {A_\mu}^A &= i(\bar\Omega^{iA}\gamma_\mu\eta_i) \qquad \delta\lambda^A = -i\hat\partial {\bar z^i}_A\eta_i \\
\delta\Omega_{iA} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\sigma A)^A\eta_i + i\varepsilon_{ijk}\hat\partial {z_j}^A\eta_k \\
\delta{\varphi_i}^A &= (\bar\lambda^A\eta_i) + \varepsilon_{ijk}(\bar\Omega^{jA}\eta^k) \\
\delta{\pi_i}^A &= -(\bar\lambda^A\gamma_5\eta_i) + \varepsilon_{ijk}(\bar\Omega^{jA}\gamma_5\eta^k)
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

As it can easily be noted, the set of spinor and scalar fields is superfluous, that allows one to have a symmetric description of three vector fields of $N=3$ supergravity multiplet and m vector fields from matter multiplets. Therefore, we impose the following invariant constraints on the scalar fields, corresponding to the geometry $SU(3,m)/SU(3)\otimes SU(m)\otimes U(1)$:

$$ {z_i}^A{\bar z^j}_A = -2{\delta_i}^j \tag{2}$$

(in the system of units, where gravitational constant $k=1$). For these constraints to be consistent with supersymmetry we must impose appropriate ones on the spinor fields, namely:

$$ z_i\Omega_j = 0 \qquad \bar z^i\lambda = 0 \tag{3}$$

Here and further on, we shall omit, wherever possible, the repeated indices. Besides, we use γ-matrix representation in which majorana spinors are real. Therefore in all expressions with spinors γ_5-matrix will play a role of imaginary unit, for example, $z_i\Omega_j=(x_i+\gamma_5 y_i)\Omega_j$ and so on.

In turn, the requirement of consistency for this constraints leads to two important consequences. Firstly, the whole theory must be invariant under the local $SU(3)\otimes U(1)$ transformations, in this, a combination $(z_i\partial_\mu\bar z^i)$ plays the role of a gauge field. Let us give here necessary covariant derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\mu {z_i}^A &= \partial_\mu {z_i}^A - \frac{1}{2}(z_i\partial_\mu\bar z^j){z_j}^A \qquad \bar z^i D_\mu z_j = O \\
D_\mu\Omega_{iA} &= D^g_\mu\Omega_{iA} - \frac{1}{2}(z_i\partial_\mu\bar z^j)\Omega_{jA} + \frac{1}{4}(z\partial_\mu\bar z)\Omega_{iA} \\
D_\mu\lambda^A &= D^g_\mu\lambda^A - \frac{1}{4}(z\partial_\mu\bar z)\lambda^A
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Note, that axial $U(1)_A$ charges of all fields are unambiguously determined by the form of supertransformations.

Secondly, in order to modify the spinors supertransformations to make them consistent with the constraints, we shall need one more important object. Let us introduce symmetric matrix $g_{ij} = {z_i}^A {z_j}^A$. By virtue of constraints this matrix is nondegenerate (at least in weak field approximation) therefore one can define an inverse matrix $(g^{-1})^{ij}$, such that

$$(g^{-1})^{ij} z_j z_k \;=\; \delta^i{}_k \tag{5}$$

It is not necessary to calculate matrix $(g^{-1})^{ij}$ explicitly since it appears to be sufficient to use relations of the type:

$$\delta(g^{-1})^{ij} \;=\; -(g^{-1})^{ik}\delta(z_k z_l)(g^{-1})^{lj} \tag{6}$$

In these notations the Lagrangian of interaction has the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_o \;=\;& -\frac{1}{2}R + \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\bar{\Psi}_\mu{}^i\gamma_5\gamma_\nu D_\rho\Psi_{\sigma i} + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\rho}\hat{D}\rho + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\Omega}^i\hat{D}\Omega_i + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\lambda}\hat{D}\lambda - \\
&-\frac{1}{4}{A_{\mu\nu}}^2 + \frac{1}{4}(g^{-1})^{ij}{z_i}^A{z_j}^B A_{\mu\nu,A}(A_{\mu\nu} + i\tilde{A}_{\mu\nu})_B + h.c. + \\
&+\frac{1}{2}D_\mu z_i D^\mu \bar{z}^i + \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}\bar{\rho}\gamma^\mu(g^{-1})^{ij}{z_i}^A(\sigma A)_A\Psi_{\mu j} + \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ijk}\bar{\Psi}_{\mu i}(\bar{g}^{-1})_{jl}\bar{z}^l{}_A(A^{\mu\nu} - \gamma_5\tilde{A}^{\mu\nu})^A\Psi_{\nu k} + \\
&+\frac{i}{4}\bar{\Omega}^{iA}\gamma^\mu\left[(\sigma A)_A - (g^{-1})^{jk}{z_j}^A{z_k}^B(\sigma A)_B\right]\Psi_{\mu i} + \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ijk}\bar{\Omega}_{iA}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu D_\nu {z_j}^A\Psi_{\mu k} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}^A\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu D_\nu\bar{z}^i{}_A\Psi_{\mu i} - \\
&-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\bar{\lambda}^A\left[(\sigma A)^A - (g^{-1})^{ij}{z_i}^A{z_j}^B(\sigma A)^B\right]\rho \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}^A(g^{-1})^{ij}{z_i}^B(\sigma A)_B\Omega_{jA}
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Here and further on, we will omit the four-fermionic terms in our Lagrangians (as well as bilinear in fermionic fields terms in the supertransformations) which are unessential for the problem of spontaneous supersymmetry breaking. This Lagrangian is invariant under the following local supertransformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta e_{\mu r} \;=\;& i(\bar{\Psi}_\mu{}^i\gamma_r\eta_i), \qquad \delta\Psi_{\mu i} = 2D_\mu\eta_i + \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{ijk}(g^{-1})^{jl}{z_l}^A(\sigma A)_A\gamma_\mu\eta^k \\
\delta {A_\mu}^A \;=\;& -\varepsilon^{ijk}(\bar{\Psi}_{\mu i}{z_j}^A\eta_k) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{\rho}\gamma_\mu\bar{z}^i{}_A\eta_i) + i(\bar{\Omega}^{iA}\gamma_\mu\eta_i) \\
\delta\rho \;=\;& -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(g^{-1})^{ij}{z_i}^A(\sigma A)^A\eta_j \qquad \delta\lambda^A = -i\hat{D}\bar{z}^i{}_A\eta_i \\
\delta\Omega_{iA} \;=\;& -\frac{1}{2}\left[(\sigma A)^A - (g^{-1})^{jk}{z_j}^A{z_k}^B(\sigma A)^B\right]\eta_i + i\varepsilon_{ijk}\hat{D}{z_j}^A\eta_k \\
\delta{\varphi_i}^A \;=\;& (\bar{\lambda}^A\eta_i) + \varepsilon_{ijk}(\bar{\Omega}^{jA}\eta^k), \qquad \delta{\pi_i}^A = -(\bar{\lambda}^A\gamma_5\eta_i) + \varepsilon_{ijk}(\bar{\Omega}^{jA}\gamma_5\eta^k)
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Note, that we are working with physical fields only (without introducing auxiliary ones), therefore for the fermionic fields the algebra of the supertransformations closes on the mass shell only. At the same time, for the bosonic fields this algebra closes without using equations of motion, giving a nontrivial check of selfconsistency for the model. For example, for the vector fields ${A_\mu}^A$ we have:

$$[\delta(\xi), \delta(\eta)]{A_\mu}^A = -2i(\bar{\eta}^i \gamma^\nu \xi_i){A_{\nu\mu}}^A + 2\partial_\mu(\varepsilon^{ijk}\bar{\eta}_i {z_j}^A \xi_k) \tag{9}$$

The Lagrangian (7) describes an interaction of $N = 3$ supergravity with massless abelian vector multiplets. In extended supergravities the appearance of the mass terms (and in general of the scalar fields potential and the Yukawa type interactions) is unambiguously connected with the switching of gauge interaction. Besides supertransformations (8), the Lagrangian (7) is invariant under global transformations of the group $O(3, m)$ (maximal subgroup of $SU(3, m)$, not containing dual transformations of vector fields). This allows one to introduce non-abelian gauge interaction with the group $G \subset O(3, m)$. For that purpose let us replace the derivatives in formulas (7), (8) by G-covariant ones, for example, $\partial_\mu {z_i}^A \to \partial_\mu {z_i}^A + f^{ABC}{A_\mu}^B {z_i}^C$, where f^{ABC} is structure constants of group G. In order to compensate the violation of the invariance under the supertransformations which arises after that, it is necessary to add to the Lagrangian additional terms of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
L' = {} & f^{ABC}\{\frac{1}{8}\bar{\Psi}_{\mu i}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\varepsilon^{ijk}{z_j}^A {z_k}^B {\bar{z}^l}_C \Psi_{\nu l} - \frac{i}{2}{\bar{\Psi}_\mu}{}^i\gamma^\mu {z_i}^A {\bar{z}^j}_B \Omega_{jC} + \\
& + \frac{i}{4}{\bar{\Psi}_\mu}{}^i\gamma^\mu z^A \bar{z}_B \Omega_{iC} + \frac{i}{4}{\bar{\Psi}_\mu}{}^i\gamma^\mu \varepsilon_{ijk}{\bar{z}^j}_A {\bar{z}^k}_B \lambda_C + \\
& + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}}{\bar{\Psi}_\mu}{}^i\gamma^\mu {z_i}^A (z^B \bar{z}_C)\rho + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ijk}\bar{\Omega}_{iA}{z_j}^B \Omega_{kC} + \bar{\Omega}_{iA}{\bar{z}^i}_B \lambda_C + \\
& + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\Omega}_{iD}(z^A \bar{z}_B){\bar{z}^i}_C \lambda^D + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon^{ijk}\bar{\Omega}_{iA}{z_j}^B {z_k}^C \rho + \\
& + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\bar{\lambda}^A (z^B \bar{z}_C)\rho\} + \frac{1}{4}(f^{ABC}{z_i}^A {\bar{z}^j}_B)(f^{CDF}{z_j}^D {\bar{z}^i}_F) - \\
& - \frac{1}{8}(f^{ABC}z^B \bar{z}_C)^2 + \frac{1}{8}(f z\bar{z}\bar{z}^i)(f z_i z\bar{z})
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and to the fermion supertransformations, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta'\Psi_{\mu i} &= -\frac{i}{8}\gamma_\mu\left[\varepsilon^{ijk}(f z_j z_k \bar{z}^l) + (i \leftrightarrow l)\right]\eta_l \\
\delta'\Omega_{iA} &= \left[f^{ABC}{z_i}^B {\bar{z}^j}_C + \frac{1}{2}{\bar{z}^k}_A (f z_k z_i \bar{z}^j)\right]\eta_j - \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\left[f^{ABC}z^B \bar{z}_C + \frac{1}{2}{\bar{z}^k}_A (f z_k z\bar{z})\right]\eta_i \\
\delta'\lambda^A &= \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ijk}\left[f^{ABC}{z_i}^B {z_j}^C + \frac{1}{2}{z_l}^A (f z_i z_j \bar{z}^l)\right]\eta_k \\
\delta'\rho &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(f z\bar{z}\bar{z}^i)\eta_i
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where, for example, $(f z_i z_j \bar{z}^k) = f^{ABC}{z_i}^A {z_j}^B {\bar{z}^k}_C$.

As the global symmetry group $O(3, m)$ is non-compact, there exist a lot of possible choices for the gauge group. The first class consists of the groups like $O(3) \otimes H$, $O(3, 1) \otimes H$,

$O(2,1) \otimes O(1,2) \otimes H$, where H is a compact group. Note, that in the case of $O(3,1)$ group two variants are possible depending on what generators (compact or non-compact) vector fields of supergravity multiplets correspond to (that also determines the sign of cosmological term). In all the cases considered the spontaneous supersymmetry breaking was accompanied by the appearance of a cosmological term. Only in the last case imposing a relation between two gauge coupling constants one can fine-tune the value of cosmological constant to zero, but the scalar field potential turns out to be non-stable.

The second possibility is the nontrivial gauging similar to the ones considered in [11] for the case of $N = 4$ supergravity. The hidden sector for such model includes only one matter vector multiplets and the gauge group is $E_2 \subset O(3,1)$ (rotation and two translations). The generators can be easily constructed from the $O(3,1)$ ones in such a way that the appropriate coupling constants are fully antisymmetric:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau^1 &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \qquad &
\tau^2 = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{array}\right) \\
\tau^3 &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \qquad &
\tau^4 = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)
\end{aligned} \qquad (12)$$

The investigation of the scalar field potential shows that it has no stable minima (the value of the cosmological term is indeed zero, but it corresponds to the infinite vacuum expectation value of the appropriate Higgs field).

The last possibility is the pure "abelian" gauging corresponding to three translations which was constructed in [12]. The hidden sector for this model includes three matter vector multiplets and the generators (which are the combinations of compact and non-compact ones of the group $O(3,3)$) have the form:

$$t^a = \begin{pmatrix} \tau^a & \tau^a \\ -\tau^a & -\tau^a \end{pmatrix} \qquad (13)$$

where τ^a, $a = 1,2,3$ are usual antisymmetric $O(3)$ matrices. One can easily check that the generators t^a are nilpotent, i.e. $t^a t^b = 0 \quad \forall a, b$. This model really gives the only (as far as we know) example of the spontaneous supersymmetry breaking without a cosmological term for the $N = 3$ supergravity with matter. Due to the requirement that structure constants to be antisymmetric one has only one gauge coupling constant for all three translations and as a consequence all three gravitini have equal masses.

Thus we have seen that it seems impossible to have "realistic" models in this class of theories. By term realistic we mean not only the absence of a cosmological term, but also the possibility to have spontaneous supersymmetry breaking with at least two different scales, including partial super-Higgs effect $N = 3 \rightarrow N = 1$. This result depends heavily on the properties of the non-linear σ-model as well as on the global symmetry group $O(3,m)$. As we have already mentioned the model $SU(3,m)/SU(3) \otimes SU(m) \otimes U(1)$ appears to be the only candidate for the $N = 3$ supergravity-matter system, but the global symmetry groups may drastically differ in dual versions. In [9] there was constructed a hidden sector for $N = 3$ supergravity with global symmetry group $GL(3,C) \otimes T_9$ which turns out to be the dual versions for the $N = 3$ supergravity with three vector multiplets. It was shown that in such hidden sector spontaneous supersymmetry breaking with three different scales are possible, in this the cosmological term is equal to zero for all values of these parameters. So it would be interesting to have a generalization of this hidden sector to the case of arbitrary number of vector multiplets. In our next section we are going to construct such a model.

3 Dual version

The crucial observation for the whole construction is that since the dual versions have the same scalar field geometry one can use all the terms in the Lagrangian and in the supertransformations without vector fields obtained previously. To construct the desired generalization of the hidden sector [9] we start by solving scalar field constraint (2). For that purpose we introduce a kind of "light cone" variables ${z_i}^A = ({x_i}^\alpha + {y_i}^\alpha, {x_i}^\alpha - {y_i}^\alpha, {z_i}^a)$, $i, \alpha = 1, 2, 3$, $a = 7, 8 ... m + 3$. Then the constraint (2) takes the form:

$$ {x_i}^\alpha {\bar{y}_\alpha}{}^j + {y_i}^\alpha {\bar{x}_\alpha}{}^j = \delta_i^j + \frac{1}{2} {z_i}^a {\bar{z}_a}{}^j. \tag{14} $$

Now we introduce a new variable ${\pi_\alpha}^\beta = (y^{-1})_\alpha{}^i {x_i}^\beta - {\bar{x}_\alpha}{}^i (\bar{y}^{-1})_i{}^\beta$ (such a special choice will become clear later) so that we can exclude the field ${x_i}^\alpha$ and rewrite all the formulas in terms of ${y_i}^\alpha$, ${\pi_\alpha}^\beta$ and ${z_i}^a$. In this, it appears that in order to have a canonical form for the scalar field kinetic terms, one have to make a change ${z_i}^a = {y_i}^\alpha {z_\alpha}^a$. As a result we obtain:

$$ \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} D_\mu {z_i}^a D^\mu {\bar{z}_a}{}^i = \frac{1}{4} Sp \Big[& (\partial_\mu y (y^{-1}) + (\bar{y}^{-1}) \partial_\mu \bar{y})^2 + \\ & + 2 \bar{y} y \partial_\mu z \partial_\mu \bar{z} - [y(\partial_\mu \pi + \frac{1}{2}(z \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial_\mu} \bar{z})) \bar{y}]^2 \Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{15} $$

Analogously, to solve the spinor field constraint (3) we introduce new variables:

$$ \Omega_{iA} = (-(\chi_{i\alpha} + \eta_{i\alpha}), (\eta_{i\alpha} - \chi_{i\alpha}), \Omega_{ia}), \quad \lambda^A = ((\xi^\alpha + \lambda^\alpha), (\xi^\alpha - \lambda^\alpha), \Lambda^a). \tag{16} $$

The following changes of variables are necessary to bring the spinor fields kinetic terms to the canonical form:

$$ \begin{aligned} \chi_{i\alpha} &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} {\bar{y}_\alpha}{}^i \chi_{ij}, \qquad & \Omega_{ia} &\to \Omega_{ia} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} {\bar{z}_a}{}^\alpha {\bar{y}_\alpha}{}^j \chi_{ij} \\ \lambda^\alpha &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} {y_i}^\alpha \lambda^i, \qquad & \Lambda^a &\to \Lambda^a + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z_\alpha^a {y_i}^\alpha \lambda^i. \end{aligned} \tag{17} $$

Let us discard for a moment "matter" fields ${z_\alpha}^a$, Ω_{ia} and Λ^a. Then all the terms in the Lagrangian without vector fields in terms of new variables take the form:

$$ L_1 = -\frac{1}{2} R + \frac{i}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \bar{\Psi}_{\mu i} \gamma_5 \gamma_\nu D_\rho \Psi_{\sigma i} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\chi}_{ij} \hat{D} \chi_{ij} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\rho} \hat{D} \rho + $$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\frac{1}{4}Sp\{(S_\mu)^2-(U_\mu)^2\}+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon^{ijk}\bar{\chi}_{il}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu(S_\nu-U_\nu)_j{}^l\Psi_{\mu k}-\\
&-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\bar{\lambda}^i\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu(S_\nu+U_\nu)_i{}^j\Psi_{\mu j}-\frac{i}{4}\bar{\chi}_{ij}\gamma^\mu(P_\mu+U_\mu)_i{}^k\chi_{kj}-\\
&-\frac{i}{4}\bar{\chi}_{ij}\gamma^\mu(P_\mu-U_\mu)_j{}^k\chi_{ik}+\frac{i}{8}\bar{\chi}_{ij}\gamma^\mu U_\mu\chi_{ij}+\frac{i}{4}\bar{\lambda}^i\gamma^\mu(P_\mu-U_\mu)_j{}^i\lambda^j-\\
&-\frac{i}{8}\bar{\lambda}^i\gamma^\mu U_\mu\lambda^i-\frac{i}{4}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\bar{\Psi}_{\mu i}\gamma_5\gamma_\nu\{(U_\rho+P_\rho)_i{}^j-\frac{1}{2}U_\rho\delta_i{}^j\}\Psi_{\sigma j} \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we introduced the following notations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\mu i}{}^j &= \{\partial_\mu y(y^{-1})+(\bar{y}^{-1})\partial_\mu\bar{y}\}_i{}^j,\\
P_{\mu i}{}^j &= \{\partial_\mu y(y^{-1})-(\bar{y}^{-1})\partial_\mu\bar{y}\}_i{}^j, \qquad (19)\\
U_{\mu i}{}^j &= \{y\partial_\mu\pi\bar{y}\}_i{}^j, \qquad U_\mu=U_{\mu i}{}^i
\end{aligned}
$$

while the appropriate terms in the supertransformations look like:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta e_{\mu r} &= i(\bar{\Psi}_\mu{}^i\gamma_r\eta_i)\\
\delta\Psi_{\mu i} &= 2D_\mu\eta_i-\{(P_\mu+U_\mu)_i{}^j-\frac{1}{2}\delta_i{}^jU_\mu\}\eta_j\\
\delta\chi_{ij} &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon_{ikl}\gamma^\mu(S_\mu-U_\mu)_k{}^j\eta_l \qquad \delta\lambda^i=-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\gamma^\mu(S_\mu+U_\mu)_i{}^j\eta_j \qquad (20)\\
\delta\pi_\alpha{}^\beta &= -\sqrt{2}(\bar{\lambda}^i(\bar{y}^{-1})_i{}^\beta(y^{-1})_\alpha{}^j\eta_j)+\sqrt{2}\varepsilon^{ijk}(\bar{\chi}_{jl}(\bar{y}^{-1})_i{}^\beta(y^{-1})_\alpha{}^l\eta_k)\\
\delta\varphi_i{}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon^{ijk}(\bar{\chi}_{jl}\bar{y}_\alpha{}^l\eta_k)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{\lambda}^jy_j{}^\alpha\eta_i)\\
\delta\pi_i{}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\varepsilon^{ijk}(\bar{\chi}_{jl}\gamma_5\bar{y}_\alpha{}^l\eta_k)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{\lambda}^j\gamma_5y_j{}^\alpha\eta_i)
\end{aligned}
$$

One can see that (up to slightly different notations) this formulas indeed coincide with the corresponding ones from the [9]. In this, the complex fields $y_i{}^\alpha$ describes a non-linear σ-model $GL(3,C)/U(3)$, while the fields $\pi_\alpha{}^\beta$ enter the Lagrangian through the divergency $\partial_\mu\pi_\alpha{}^\beta$ only (thus explaining our special choice for it) so that the Lagrangian is invariant under the global translations $\pi_\alpha{}^\beta\to\pi_\alpha{}^\beta+\Lambda_\alpha{}^\beta$.

In our current notations the part of the hidden sector containing vector fields $V_{\mu\alpha}=A_{\mu\alpha}+\gamma_5B_{\mu\alpha}$, $\alpha=1,2,3$ has the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_2 &= -\frac{1}{4}(y^{-1})_\alpha{}^i(\bar{y}^{-1})_i{}^\beta(V^*_{\mu\nu})^\alpha(V^{\mu\nu})_\beta-\frac{i}{4}\pi^\beta_\alpha(\tilde{V}^*_{\mu\nu})^\alpha(V_{\mu\nu})_\beta+\frac{i}{2}\bar{\rho}\hat{D}\rho+\\
&+\frac{i}{8}\bar{\rho}\gamma^\mu U_\mu\rho+\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{ijk}\bar{\Psi}_{\mu i}(\bar{y}^{-1})_j{}^\alpha(V^{\mu\nu}-\gamma_5\tilde{V}^{\mu\nu})_\alpha\Psi_{\nu k}+\\
&+\frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}}\bar{\rho}\gamma^\mu(\sigma V^*)^\alpha(y^{-1})_\alpha{}^i\Psi_{\mu i}+\frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}}\bar{\chi}_{ij}\gamma^\mu(\sigma V)_\alpha(\bar{y}^{-1})_j{}^\alpha\Psi_{\mu i}\\
&-\frac{1}{4}\bar{\lambda}^j(\sigma V^*)^\alpha(y^{-1})_\alpha{}^i\chi_{ij}-\bar{\lambda}^i(\bar{y}^{-1})_i{}^\alpha(\sigma V)_\alpha\rho \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the corresponding terms in the supertransformations:

$$
\delta\Psi_{\mu i} = \frac{i}{4}\varepsilon_{ijk}(y^{-1})_\alpha{}^j(\sigma V^*)^\alpha\gamma_\mu\eta^k
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta A_\mu{}^\alpha &= -\varepsilon^{ijk}(\bar\Psi_{\mu i} y_j{}^\alpha \eta_k) + \frac{1}{\sqrt2}(\bar\chi_{ij}\gamma_\mu y_j{}^\alpha \eta_i) + \frac{i}{\sqrt2}(\bar\rho\gamma_\mu \bar y_\alpha{}^i \eta_i) \\
\delta B_\mu{}^\alpha &= -\varepsilon^{ijk}(\bar\Psi_{\mu i}\gamma_5 y_j{}^\alpha \eta_k) + \frac{1}{\sqrt2}(\bar\chi_{ij}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 y_j{}^\alpha \eta_i) - \frac{i}{\sqrt2}(\bar\rho\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 \bar y_\alpha{}^i \eta_i) \\
\delta\chi_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt2}(\sigma V)_\alpha (\bar y^{-1})_j{}^\alpha \eta_i \qquad \delta\rho = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt2}(\sigma V^*)^\alpha (y^{-1})_\alpha{}^j \eta_i
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Now we can restore all the terms with the matter fields $z_\alpha{}^a$, $\Omega_{i\alpha}$ and Λ^a in the Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_3 &= \frac12 y_i{}^\alpha \partial_\mu z_\alpha{}^a \partial_\mu \bar z_\beta{}^a \bar y_\beta{}^i + \frac{i}{2}\bar\Omega_{ia}\hat D\Omega_{ia} + \frac{i}{2}\bar\Lambda^a \hat D \Lambda^a - \\
&- \frac{i}{\sqrt2}\bar\chi_{ij}\bar y_\alpha{}^j \hat\partial z^a_\alpha \Omega_{ia} - \frac{i}{4}\bar\Omega_{ia}\gamma^\mu (P_\mu + U_\mu)_i{}^j \Omega_{ja} + \frac{i}{8}\bar\Omega\gamma^\mu U_\mu \Omega_{ia} + \\
&+ \frac12 \varepsilon^{ijk}\bar\Omega_{ia}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu y_j{}^\alpha \partial_\nu z^a_\alpha \Psi_{\mu k} - \frac{i}{\sqrt2}\bar\lambda^i y_i{}^\alpha \hat\partial \bar z^\alpha_a \Lambda^a - \frac{i}{8}\bar\Lambda^a\gamma^\mu U_\mu \Lambda^a - \\
&- \frac12 \bar\Lambda^a \gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu \bar y_\alpha{}^i \partial_\nu \bar z^\alpha_a \Psi_{\mu i}
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

as well as in the supertransformations

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\Lambda^a &= -i\hat\partial \bar z^\alpha_a \bar y_\alpha{}^i \eta_i \qquad \delta\Omega_{ia} = i\varepsilon_{ijk}\hat\partial z^a_\alpha y_j{}^\alpha \eta_k \\
\delta\pi_\alpha{}^\beta &= (\bar\Lambda^a \bar z^\beta_a (y^{-1})_\alpha{}^i \eta_i) - \varepsilon^{ijk}(\bar\Omega_{ja} z^a_\alpha (\bar y^{-1})_i{}^\beta \eta_k) \\
\delta\varphi_a{}^\alpha &= \varepsilon^{ijk}(\bar\Omega_{ja}(\bar y^{-1})^\alpha_i \eta_k) + (\bar\Lambda^a (y^{-1})_\alpha{}^i \eta_i) \\
\delta\pi_a{}^\alpha &= \varepsilon^{ijk}(\bar\Omega_{ja}\gamma_5(\bar y^{-1})^\alpha_i \eta_k) - (\bar\Lambda^a \gamma_5 (y^{-1})_\alpha{}^i \eta_i)
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Note that in the presence of matter fields the expression for the $U_{\mu i}{}^j$ has the form $U_{\mu i}{}^j = \{y[\partial_\mu \pi + \frac12 z \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial_\mu} \bar z]\bar y\}$.

Let us stress ones more that all the terms without vector fields are exactly the same in all dual versions. Now it is a relatively easy task to complete the whole construction to include matter vector fields $C_\mu{}^a$ by the use of the usual Noether procedure. That give the following additional terms the Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_4 &= -\frac18 z^a_\alpha (V^*_{\mu\nu})^\alpha \bar z^\beta_a (V_{\mu\nu})_\beta - \frac1{16}\left\{ z^a_\alpha (V^*_{\mu\nu})^\alpha z^a_\beta (V^*_{\mu\nu} + i\tilde V^*_{\mu\nu})^\beta + h.c. \right\} + \\
&+ \frac14 \left\{ z^a_\alpha C^a_{\mu\nu} (V^*_{\mu\nu} + i\tilde V^*_{\mu\nu})^\alpha + h.c. \right\} - \frac14 (C^a_{\mu\nu})^2 + \\
&+ \frac14 \bar\Omega_{ia}(\sigma V^*)^\alpha (y^{-1})_{\alpha i}\Lambda^a - \frac{1}{2\sqrt2}\bar\Lambda^a(\sigma C)^a \rho + \frac{i}{4}\bar\Omega_{ia}\gamma^\mu (\sigma C)^a \Psi_{\mu i} - \\
&- \frac{i}{8}\bar\Omega_{ia}\gamma^\mu [\bar z^\alpha_a (\sigma V)_\alpha + z^a_\alpha (\sigma V^*)^\alpha]\Psi_{\mu i} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt2}\bar\Lambda^a [z^a_\alpha (\sigma V^*)^\alpha + \bar z^\alpha_a (\sigma V)_\alpha]\rho
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

and to the supertransformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\Omega_{ia} &= \frac14 \{\bar z^\alpha_a (\sigma V)_\alpha + z^a_\alpha (\sigma V^*)^\alpha\}\eta_i - \frac12 (\sigma C)^a \eta_i \\
\delta C^a_\mu &= i(\bar\Omega_{ia}\gamma_\mu \eta_i) + \frac{i}{\sqrt2}(\bar\chi_{ij}\gamma_\mu y_j{}^\alpha z^a_\alpha \eta_i) + \\
&\quad + \frac{i}{\sqrt2}(\bar\rho\gamma_\mu \bar y^i_\alpha \bar z^\alpha_a \eta_i) - \varepsilon^{ijk}(\bar\Psi_{\mu i} y_j{}^\alpha z^a_\alpha \eta_k)
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

4 Spontaneous symmetry breaking

As we have already noted, in extended supergravities the appearance of the mass terms is tightly connected with the switching of gauge interaction. We have seen that all the attempts to get spontaneous supersymmetry breaking through the non-abelian gauge interaction usually lead to the appearance of the cosmological term. In the dual version constructed in the previous section we have fields ${\pi_\alpha}^\beta$ that enter the Lagrangian through the divergency $\partial_\mu {\pi_\alpha}^\beta$ only, so that the Lagrangian is invariant under the global translations ${\pi_\alpha}^\beta \to {\pi_\alpha}^\beta + {\Lambda_\alpha}^\beta$. In [9] it was shown that using these fields as a Goldstone ones we may introduce the vector field masses by going to the local transformations and making a change $\partial_\mu {\pi_\alpha}^\beta \to \partial_\mu {\pi_\alpha}^\beta - {(V_\mu)_\alpha}^\beta$, where

$$
{(V_\mu)_\alpha}^\beta = {(\Sigma^\gamma)_\alpha}^\beta (V_\mu)_\gamma + {(\Sigma_\gamma)_\alpha}^\beta (V^*_\mu)^\gamma \qquad (27)
$$

Here we have introduce matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Sigma^1) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_2 \\ 0 & \mu_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\Sigma^2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mu_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\mu_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (\Sigma^3) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mu_1 & 0 \\ -\mu_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
(\Sigma_\gamma) &= -(\Sigma^\gamma)^T, \quad {(\Sigma_\gamma)_\alpha}^\beta = -{(\Sigma_\alpha)_\gamma}^\beta, \quad {(\Sigma^\gamma)_\alpha}^\beta = -{(\Sigma^\beta)_\alpha}^\gamma
\end{aligned} \qquad (28)
$$

All the terms in the Lagrangians (18), (21) and in the supertransformations (20), (22) containing $\partial_\mu {\pi_\alpha}^\beta$ are covariantized just by the change $\partial_\mu {\pi_\alpha}^\beta \to \partial_\mu {\pi_\alpha}^\beta - {(V_\mu)_\alpha}^\beta$ except the "axionic" term $-\frac{i}{4} {\pi_\alpha}^\beta (V^{\mu\nu})_\beta (\tilde{V}^*_{\mu\nu})^\alpha$ which have to be completed with $-\frac{1}{6} (\tilde{V}^{\mu\nu})^\alpha (V_\mu)_\beta {(V_\mu)_\alpha}^\beta +$ *h.c.*. Thus the requirement of gauge invariance leads to the self-interaction for the abelian vector fields!

As usual, the transition from the global to local transformations and the change of the usual derivatives by gauge covariant ones spoils the invariance of the Lagrangian under the supertransformatrions. In order to restore such invariance it is necessary to add to the Lagrangian the following terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L' &= \frac{1}{4}(\bar{\Psi}_{\mu i} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \varepsilon^{ijk} {(\Sigma_j)_k}^l \Psi_{\nu l}) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\Psi}_{\mu i} \gamma^\mu {(\Sigma^j)_i}^k \chi_{jk}) - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\Psi}_{\mu i} \gamma^\mu \Sigma^j \chi_{ij}) - \\
&- \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\Psi}_{\mu i} \gamma^\mu \Sigma_i \rho) - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\Psi}_{\mu i} \gamma^\mu \varepsilon_{ijk} {(\Sigma^j)_l}^k \lambda^l) + \\
&- (\frac{1}{2} \bar{\chi}_{ij} \varepsilon^{ikm} {(\Sigma^j)_m}^l \chi_{kl}) + (\bar{\lambda}^i {(\Sigma^j)_i}^k \chi_{jk}) + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\lambda}^i \Sigma^j \chi_{ji}) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\lambda}^i \Sigma_i \rho) + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\chi}_{ij} \varepsilon^{kli} {(\Sigma_k)_l}^j \rho) + \frac{1}{2} (\Sigma_i)(\Sigma^i)
\end{aligned} \qquad (29)
$$

where

$$
{(\Sigma_i)_j}^k = {y_i}^\alpha {y_j}^\beta {(\Sigma_\alpha)_\beta}^\gamma {\bar{y}_\gamma}^k \qquad \Sigma_i = {(\Sigma_i)_j}^j \qquad (30)
$$

In this, the whole Lagrangian (18)+(21)+(29), describing hidden sector of our dual version will be invariant under the supertransformations (20)+(22) with the additional terms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta' \Psi_{\mu i} &= -\frac{i}{4} \gamma_\mu \left[\varepsilon^{ijk} {(\Sigma_j)_k}^l + (i \leftrightarrow l) \right] \eta_l \qquad \delta' \rho = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Sigma^i \eta_i \\
\delta' \chi_{ij} &= -\sqrt{2} {(\Sigma_i)_j}^k \eta_k - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Sigma_j \eta_i \qquad \delta' \lambda^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \varepsilon^{ljk} {(\Sigma_j)_k}^i \eta_l
\end{aligned} \qquad (31)
$$

From the formula (29) one can see that the scalar field potential in such model has the form $V = -1/2\Sigma_i\Sigma^i$. Using (28) and (30) it can be shown that this potential is positively defined and its value at the minimum is equals to zero, which corresponds to the absence of the cosmological term. Note, that at the minimum vacuum expectation value for ${y_i}^\alpha$ is ${\delta_i}^\alpha$. At the same time, the gravitini mass matrix has the form

$$\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\alpha\gamma\delta}(\Sigma_\gamma)_\delta{}^\beta = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} -\mu_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu_3 \end{array}\right)^{\alpha\beta} \tag{32}$$

Thus, in such model there exist a possibility to have spontaneous supersymmetry breaking with three arbitrary scales, including partial super-Higgs effect $N = 3 \rightarrow N = 2$ $N = 3 \rightarrow N = 1$. Let us stress ones more that the cosmological term is absent for any values of these parameters.

When the matter fields are present there exist a possibility to add gauge interaction for matter vector multiplets with any compact gauge group H. Such a gauging gives additional (positively defined) contribution to the scalar field potential but doesn't change the main properties of our model. For simplicity we will not consider these additional terms here. In this case, the only new term that we have to add to the Lagrangian is:

$$L'' = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\Lambda}^a\Sigma^i\Omega_{ia}) \tag{33}$$

This term does not lead to the mass generation for matter spinors Ω and Λ because the vacuum expectation value for the Σ^i is equal to zero.

5 Conclusion

Thus, we have seen that in the "minimal" model for $N = 3$ supergravity with vector multiplets the only possibility for spontaneous supersymmetry breaking without a cosmological term is the model [12] in which one have only one breaking scale and all three gravitini are mass degenerate. At the same time in the dual version the spontaneous supersymmetry breaking with three different scales (including partial super-Higgs effect $N = 3 \rightarrow N = 1$) is possible, but no soft breaking terms for the matter fields are generated. In our subsequent paper we have shown that the situation in $N = 4$ supergravity is to much extent similar, but with some more interesting possibilities.

Acknowledgments

Work supported by International Science Foundation grant RMP000 and by Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research grant 94-02-03552.

References

[1] B. de Wit, P. G. Lauwers, and A. van Proeyen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B255** (1985) 569.

[2] E. Cremmer, C. Kounnas, A. van Proeyen, J. P. Derendinger, S. Ferrara, B. de Wit, and L. Girardello, *Nucl. Phys.* **B250** (1985) 385.

[3] H. Itoyama, L. McLerran, T. R. Taylor, and J. J. van der Bij, *Nucl. Phys.* **B279** (1987) 380.

[4] S. Cecotti, L. Girardello, and M. Porrati, *Nucl. Phys.* **B268** (1986) 295.

[5] Yu. M. Zinoviev, *Yad. Fiz.* **46** (1987) 943.

[6] Yu. M. Zinoviev, *Yad. Fiz.* **46** (1987) 1240.

[7] Yu. M. Zinoviev, *Int. J. of Mod. Phys.* **A7** (1992) 7515.

[8] Yu. M. Zinoviev, "Dual versions of $N = 2$ supergravity and spontaneous supersymmetry breaking," IHEP preprint 94-99 (Protvino, 1994).

[9] Yu. M. Zinoviev, "Spontaneous symmetry breaking in $N = 3$ supergravity," IHEP preprint 86-171 (Serpukhov, 1986).

[10] L. Castellani, A. Ceresole, S. Ferrara, R. D'Auria, P. Fre, and E. Maina, *Nucl. Phys.* **B268** (1986) 317.

[11] M. Porrati and F. Zwirner, *Nucl. Phys.* **B326** (1989) 162.

[12] Yu. M. Zinoviev, *Phys. Let.* **262B** (1991) 285.